E-3: ON-SITE MONITORING CHECKLISTS

_	Monitoring Preparation Checklist (pages 1-4)	Upa	ated: July	/ 2022
Gene	ral Information Grantee:			
	Contract Number:			
	Grant Program Year:			
	Grant Type [PF, HO, ED, DN, LS]:			
	Entity [Village, City, Town, Parish]:			
Conta				
	Consultant:			
	Engineer:			
	LGR:			
Dates				
	Authorization to Incur Costs:			
	Transmittal of Contract:			
	Consultant Cleared:			
	Engineer Cleared:			
	Consultant Contract:			
	Engineer Contract:			
	CDBG Contract Ends:			
	Monitoring Visit:			
Δmou	Ints/Activities/Nat'l Objective Grant Award Amount:			
AIIIUU	Percent Drawn to Date:			
	Local Funds:			
	Other Funds:			
	Activity:			
	National Objective:			
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Expenditures to Date:			
	Activity:			
	National Objective:			
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Expenditures to Date:			
	Activity:			
	National Objective:			
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Expenditures to Date:			
	Activity:			
	National Objective:			
	ORIGINAL Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Most recent REVISED Budgeted Amount for Activity:			
	Expenditures to Date:			
	Grant Award (ORIGINAL BUDGET):			
	Grant Award (REVISED BUDGET):			\$0.00
	Total Expenditures to Date:			
Acqui		Yes	No	N/A
1.	Did application include acquisition by purchase or donation?			
	No. december 1	v		
	Displacement	Yes	No	N/A
2.	Does plan identify a person who is responsible for displacement and			
	relocation compliance?			
_	~ If Yes , note name of contact person:			
3.	Was a person or business displaced as a result of this program?			
	~ If Yes, print Part 2 of the Checklist.	V.		
Finan		Yes	No	N/A
6.	Does grantee have more than one open CDBG grant?			
10.	Date 1st administration invoice: Period covered:			
	Date 1st construction invoice: Period covered:			

Labor	Standards (<i>Tip</i>	: Consider visiting the site fir	rst and do the checklist last.)			
				Yes	No	N/A
1.	Did grantee have prior ap	proval from OCD to use For				
		Prime Contractor 1	Prime Contractor 2	Prime Contra	actor 3	
	Contractor					
	Bid Opening Date					
	Date of Eligibility					
	Date of Contract Award					
	Lock-In Date					
	Total Contract Award Work Description					
	A. Decision Type Effective Decision #					
	Effective Mod #					
	Effective Issue Date					
	B. Decision Type					
	Effective Decision #					
	Effective Mod #					
	Issue Date					
Procu	irement					
4.		or all or part of a professional	service contract(s)?	Yes	No	,
	Troro grant fando dood fe	n an or part or a prorocolorial				
	(If Yes , continue.)					
5.	Consulting Fees OCD all	owed for:	Engineering Fees	OCD allowed for:		
٥.	-					
	pre-agreeme administratio			pre-agreement: \$ sic engineering: \$		
	adriiriisti atik	л. ф	ba	inspection: \$		_
				topo survey: \$		_
			r	property survey: \$		
			P	testing: \$		
			const	ruction staking: \$		
			other:	\$		
			<u> </u>	*		
14.	Amount grantee awarded	for general admin:	\$			
	G	ŭ				
Progr	am Performance-Admini	stration				
3.	Is the program progressing	ng in accordance with the tim	e schedule?			
Recor	rd Keeping					
3.	Does the grantee have a	nother on-going grant, condit	tionally closed grant or grant		Yes	No
		eout in the last four years?				
Public	c Facilities					
	Enter 'X' if mon	itoring a street project.	,			
			(answer: Yes, No or			
	Enter bid ad publication of	dates for each prime contract				
			Prime 2			
			Prime 3	:		
6.			es that delete, add or change			
		iire prior written approval. W	as a Request for Program			
_	Amendment submitted?					
7.	a. Identify resident inspe					
	 b. Was inspector's Qual 	ification Certificate sent to O	UD prior to construction?			

Revised 4.1.24 2 of 24 LCDBG Grantee Handbook

Consultant Interview (Complete prior to monitoring vis	t)	
Physic	al address of city hall or parish courthouse:	
	Was voluntary acquisition involved?	
	Number of parcels acquired:	
An	y site or activity change since original ERR?	
	~ If yes above, was the ERR amended?	
	How many subcontractors?	
Hov	v far do we have to travel to see the project?	
	Is there current proof of bonding?	
Comments / Description of Project / etc.:		
Project Description:		
Program Amendment(s):		
Dudwat Davisian(s)		
Budget Revision(s):		
Change Order(s):		
Onange Ordensj.		

	Acquisition of Property (Part 1)			
	rantee: Contract #: F		Туре	:
Re	viewer: LGR:	Date:		
		Yes	No	N/A
1.	What is the date of submission of the application for Federal financial assistance or the date o site control (purchase agreement if grant funds are used to acquire property), if later?	f		
		Yes	No	
2	Will the activity(ies) trigger: a. URA requirements?			
	~If Yes, proceed to Part Two: Acquistion of Property			
	b. Section 104(d) requirements?			
	~If Yes, complete the Displacement and relocation checklist.			
	uisition Not Subject to 49 CFR Part 24 Subpart B Requirements			
**Co	mplete this section when there is acquisition.			
3.	Was a public solicitation notice published in the local newspaper prior to any voluntary acquisition activity?			
	a. If Yes, did the notice explain or were the owners advised that unless the local governing body and the property owners agree on the terms and conditions of the sale, the property could not otherwise be acquired? 49 CFR 24.101(b)(1)(iii)			
	b. Did the notice state that no specific site or property needs to be acquired; and that the property to be acquired will not be part of an intended, planned, or designated project area where all or substantially all of the property within the area is to be acquired within the specific time limits? 49 CFR 24.101(b)(1)(i)(ii)			
	c. Was the property owner informed in writing of what the grantee believes market value of the property? 49 CFR 24,101(b)(1)(iv)			
	 d. Was the acquisition of real property from a federal agency, state, or state agency? 49 CFR 24.101(b)(3) 			
	e. Was the acquisition by leasing where the lease term, including option(s) for extension, is 15 years or more? 49 CFR 24.101(c)(1)			
	f. Was the acquisition by permanent and/or temporary easements necessary for the project? 49 CFR 24.101(c)(2)			
	Comments:			

Acquisition (Part 1) Page 1 of 1

		_	on of Property (Part 2)		
	ntee:	Contra	ict #:	FY:	Type:
Revi	ewer:	LGR:		Date:	
1.	Address of property acquired.				
2.	Use of property prior to the beginning	of the acquisition prod	cess.		
	single family residential commercial	industrial other [identify]	non-profit organization		nily residential
3.	Owners (Indicate whether occupant).				
4.	Tenants.				
5.	Current address and home and busine (Interviews should be conducted if rev				
6.	Significant dates. (Reviewer must HUD regulations. Reviewer must revieto determine if the owner was caused	ew the timing of these		or any delays in order	
	a. Date of Determination to Acquire: ((Date of LCDBG Appli	ication).		
	b. Date of "Notice of Intent to Acquire	;" :			
	c. When a Public Agency Acquired Y the notice of land acquisition proce		rantee provided owner with ly the same date as b. abo		
Арр	raisal Process				
7.	Was an appraisal required?			Yes	No
	 If No, explain why an appraisal was voluntary acquisition; etc.) 	s not required.	(i.e., if the value of p	roperty was less than \$	10,000;
				Acquisition (Part 2)	<u> </u>
	~ If an appraisal was not cond the documentation used to o			than \$10,000, list	
	-				
				Acquisition (Part 2) Page 1 of 3

	a.	If requested by owner, did the grantee of	obtain an appr	aisal?			
			Yes	No _	Amt	Date _	
	>	If Yes , continue.					
	b.	Was a review appraisal conducted?	Yes	No _	Amt	Date _	
	C.	Does the appraisal and review appraisa on the fair market value?	al disregard th	e influence	e of the project	Yes _	No
	d.	Do you find the amount determined to be conclusion of the fair market value of the		nsation an	acceptable	Yes _	No
	e.	Was the amount determined to be just of the fair market value of the property?	•				
		~ If Yes , explain.			_ Amt		
	f.	Were the owners invited to accompany the property?	the appraiser	s on their	nspection of	Yes _	No
8.	Was	an administrative settlement made?				Yes _	No
	a. Dic	I the grantee prepare a written justificatio	n for using an	administr	ative settlement?	Yes _	No
	b. Is t	here evidence of good faith negotiations	after the initia	l offer was	rejected?	Yes	No
	c. If th	ne settlement was greater than \$10,000,	did the grante	e obtain C	CD's prior approv	- val? Yes	No
Act	of Sale/F	Oonation/Condemnation/Quick Take				-	
9.			d grantae furn	ich owner	a firm written offa	er stating all basis	
9.		urchase Offer. Prior to any bargaining, di rms and conditions to purchase his prope					
				Ye	s No	Date	
	b. Da	ate owner accepts offer to donate, or reje	cts offer.				
	~	If donated, was the donation process ca	arried out in a	proper ma	inner?	Yes	No
		> If No , randomly pick 2 donations. Ca	all and ask ho	w the proc	ess was handled.	_	
		Did the owners indicate they fe compensation?	It pressured ir	nto waiving	their right to just	Yes _	No
		> If Yes , explain.					
	c. Da	ate final contract entered into:	(all parties)				
	d. Da	ate condemnation proceedings initiated, i	f applicable:				
	e. Da	ate Quick Take proceedings initiated, if a	pplicable:				
	f. Da	ate estimated just compensation deposite	ed with court:				
						Acquisition (Part 2)	Page 2 of 3

~ If Yes,

Revised 4.1.24 6 of 24 LCDBG Grantee Handbook

	g.	Date title vested in agency:			
	h.	Date 90-day notice to vacate property:			_
	i.	Summary Statement. Did the grantee provide the owner with a "Statement of the Basis for the Determination of Just Compensation" at the time the grantee furnished the owner with the written purchase offer? (Section 301 (3))	Yes	No	
	j.	<u>Payment of Just Compensation</u> . Did the owner receive the amount determined to be just compensation for his property? (Section 301)	Yes	No	
	k.	Settlement Costs. Has grantee paid all settlement costs as required? (Sect. 303)	Yes	No	
10.	pro	eneral Acquisition Process. Based on the available evidence, did the grantee carry out the acquisition ocess in a manner that minimized hardships to the owners, and was the grantee consistent with its' atment of other owners? (Section 301)	Yes	No	
Comm	ent	s / Recommended Corrective Action:			
Oomin					_
					_
					_

Acquisition (Part 2) Page 3 of 3

	Anti-displacement (Part 1)				
(Grantee: Contract #:	FY:		Ту	pe:
Rev	viewer: LGR:		Date:		
			Yes	No	N/A
		-			
1.	Was a person or business displaced as a result of this program?				
	If Yes, complete the Residential Relocation/Displacement Checklist (Pa - If Yes, was the acquisition subject to the Uniform Act?	irt 2).			
	~ If Yes, complete the Anti-displacement Checklist (Part 2).	_			
	ii 103, complete the Anti-displacement officialist (1 art 2).				
Comi	ments / Recommended Corrective Action:				
	Compliance with National Objectives				
Activ	vity(ies): National Objective(s)*: Veri	fication:			
Comi	ments/Recommended Corrective Action:				
00					
* LM/	A = principal benefit to low-to-moderate income persons S/B = preventi	on/elimination of s	lum and	blight	
	C = principal benefit to low-to-moderate income clientele U/N = urgent r	ieed			
	J = low to moderate job creation/retention benefit				
N/A	A = not applicable				
	Citizen Participation				
	Citizon i didolpadon			Yes	No
1.	Were any complaints filed?				
	~ If yes, was the complaint procedure in the Citizen Participation Plan followed	l?			
	Comments:				
	Environmental				
	Liiviioiiiieittai		Yes	No	N/A
		-			
1.	Has an activity or project site changed since review of the ERR and/or grant app	olication?			
	(View Site) ~ If Yes , was the ERR amended and sent to OCD for review?				
	~If No , note the date an amended ERR will be submitted:		_		
2.	Did any tribe request to be a consulting party?	_			
	a. If Vac , what wore the conditions of their request?				
	~ If Yes , what were the conditions of their request?				
	~ Were the conditions of their request met?			_	
Comi	ments / Recommended Corrective Action:	_			
	Anti-Displacement/Compliance with National Objectives/Citizen P	articipation/Enviro	nmenta	l I	Page 1 of 1

Revised 4.1.24 8 of 24 LCDBG Grantee Handbook

	Civil Rights			
G	rantee: Contract #: FY	/ :	Туре	:
	ewer: LGR:	Date:	. ,,	
Title V	′I - Program Participation	Yes	No	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
1.	Does this project require individual beneficiary applications? ~If Yes , continue.			
	a. Does the grantee maintain records of all applicants in addition to selected beneficiaries?			
	b. Is the grantee collecting information on race, ethnicity, and gender of single headed households? 24 CFR 570.506(g)(2)			
Section	n 504			
Summ	ary of Previous Actions Taken			
_		Yes	No	N/A
2.	Based on your observations of the grantee's facilities, are there any obvious			
	areas of non-compliance? a. If Yes, identify			
Equal	Employment Opportunity			
3.	Is LCDBG funding the grantee's employment in whole or in part? (24 CFR 570.506(g)(3))			
4.	Does the funded grantee's operating unit have 15 or more employees? ~ If Yes , continue. If No , skip to question 8.			
5.	Are EEO posters displayed?			
6	le ampleyment date maintained?			
6.	Is employment data maintained? (EEO-4 form if grantee has 100 or more employees; Workforce Analysis in handbook if 15-99 employees) 24 CFR 6.6(b); 29 CFR 1602.30			
7.	Has grantee been cited by a state or federal agency for EEO non-compliance or discrimination in hiring? (24 CFR 570.506(g)(7)) 24 CFR 6.4(a)(3)(i)			
	=======================================			
Limite	d English Proficiency			
8.	Has the Language Access Plan been reviewed/updated annually?			-
Fair H	ousing			
	·			
9.	Identify actions taken or scheduled to be taken to further fair housing during this project/contract (Need 2 activities)	ot period.		
Comm	ents / Recommended Corrective Action:			
		Civil Rights	page 1	of 1

			Financial Management			
	Grantee:		Contract #:	FY:	Тур	э:
Re	viewer:		LGR:	Date:		
Fina	ncial Reporting	Reference: 2 CF	R 200.302(b)			
	. <u> </u>		()	Yes	No	N/A
4	la a VTD financial stat	tomount oveilable?				
1.	Is a YTD financial state [Statement of Revenution General Ledger]		nges in Net Assets and Balance Sheet			
2.	Are the YTD financial	records reasonably curre	ent?			
3.	Are the financial recor	ds accurate?				
4.		ore than one open LCDE counted for separately?	3G grant?	_		
5.	Has program income ~ If Yes , has it beer	been received? returned to the State?				
Acco	ounting Records	Reference: 2 CFR 20	00.302			
				Yes	No	N/A
6.		/ maintain program recor amendments, budget rev	ds? [contract, authorization to isions, etc.]			
7.	Authorization	ns and Awards	Dates			
-		on to Incur Costs letter:				
	Firs	t administrative invoice:				
	•	Period covered:				
	F	Release of Funds letter:				
	Fi	rst construction invoice:				
	•	Period covered:				
8.		osts (other than approve the Authorization to Inco	d pre-agreement costs) were ur Costs letter?			
Com	ments / Recommended (Corrective Action:				
			F	inancial Manage	ment Pa	age 1 of 4

-manciai Management Page i

nter	nal Controls	Reference: 2 CFR 20	00.303			Voc. No.		
						Yes	No	N/A
9.	financial manageme approval of invo	pices	·	s made in the				
	recording of LCIsigning of the ch	DBG financial transactionecks	ons					
10.	Are there two signa	tures on the checks?						
11.	Are checks pre-sigr	ned?						
12.		ontrols over the design ance that transactions an						
Comi	ments / Recommende	d Corrective Action:						
Budg	get Control F	Reference: 2 CFR 200.3	302(b)(5)					
	Eligible Activities	Original Budge	t Obligated	Unobliga Unobliga	ated Balance	Expend	litures to E)ate
								=
						Yes	No	N/A
						703	110	10/7
13.	Was there evidence other sources of fur	e that funds were obligands?	ated in excess of the	e LCDBG award a	and/or			
14.	Were any costs inc	urred after the grant ag	reement and/or pro	cured contract(s)	expiration(s)?			
		Amoun	nt Drawndawn	DED#	Davanua I	Donartad		
	FYE:	Amoul	nt Drawndown	RFP#	Revenue F	керопеа		
	FYE:							
	FYE:	+						
	FYTD:							
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>	
	Ledger Cash Bala	ance Date		Bank Statemer	nt Cash Balance		Date	
15.	Are there any discre and the approved b	epancies in the reportin oudget?	g of revenues and	expenditures				
Comi	ments / Recommende	d Corrective Action:						
					Financia	ıl Manager	ment Pa	age 2 of

Revised 4.1.24 11 of 24

Sour	ce Documentation	Reference: 2 C	CFR 200.302			Ma	AL/A
16.	Are accounting record documentation?	rds [journal entries] sup [cancelled checks, in	•		Yes	No	N/A
17.	with time sheets and	charged to the LCDBG //or other source docum ansactions regarding el ecords?	nents? 2 CF	FR 200.430(i)			
Comi	ments / Recommended	Corrective Action:					
Cash	Management	Reference: 2 CFR	200.305		Yes	No	N/A
18.	_	a separate bank accou			account?	- <u> </u>	
19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	Are all checks pre-pr Are 'other' funds dep Are bank statements	eposited in a non-intere rinted and pre-numbere posited in the LCDBG a reconciled upon receil a violation of the '3-day	ed? ccount? pt?	nt?		- <u> </u>	
		Date Deposited	Check #	Dollar Amt.	Check Written	Check C	Cleared *
	RFP#:						
	RFP#:						
	RFP#:						
	* If n	nore than <i>30 days</i> has	lapsed, a written e	explanation must b	e requested in writing.		
24.	Financial Institution:			Account Nur	mber:		
25.	Last cash disbursem	nent: Check	#	Date	Amo	ount	
		Paymen	t made to:				
Com	ments / Recommended	Corrective Action:					
					Financial Mana	gement F	Page 3 of 4

		Yes	No	N/A
26.	Does grantee have adequate financial records? [Statement of Revenues,			
	Expenditures & Changes in Fund Balance and Balance Sheet or General Ledger] (2 CFR 200.302(b)(2))			
	(2 Of 1(200.002(B)(2))			
27.	Were the grantee's accounting records and financial practices sufficient to:			
	 a. permit the preparation of required financial reports? (2 CFR 200.302(a)) and / or 			
	b. permit the tracing of LCDBG funds to establish that such funds have not			
	been used in violation of the restrictions & prohibitions of applicable statutes and regulations? (2 CFR 200.302(a))			
	statutes and regulations: (2 GFN 200.302(a))			
28.	Were all costs charged to the program reasonable and necessary? (24 CFR 570.489(d))			
29.	Were any program funds used for general government expenses? (24 CFR 570.489(d))			
20	Ware there are instanced that ways vice to the Overtioned Cost suitoric?			
30.	Were there any instances that gave rise to the Questioned Cost criteria? ~If Yes , identify:			
	Inadequate documentation — Unallowable under program regulations Expenditure unrelated to the project Required pre-expenditure approvals no		ł	
	Incurred outside the grant agreement period			
	What are the specific problems?			
	what are the specific problems:			
	Questions 27-28, if No, or Questions 29-30, if Yes: inform grantee funds cannot be requested	d or disbu	rsed until	
	deficiencies are corrected.			
_				
Comr	nents / Recommended Corrective Action:			

Financial Management Page 4 of 4

Grantee: Reviewer: Force Account			C_{Ω}					_	
				ntract #:		_	FY:	Type	:
Force Account	Reviewer: LGR:					_	Date:	<u> </u>	
Force Account							_		
1 0100 / 1000 0111									
							Yes	No	N/A
1. Did grantee hav	e prior wr	itten approval from OCE) to use	e 'Force	Account"?				
~ If Yes , did gra	antee follo	ow the "LCDBG Guidelin	es for '	Force A	ccount'?				
[Refer to the	guideline	es to review.]							
~ If No , comple									
		Prime Contractor	1	Prir	ne Contractor 2		Prime Cont	ractor 3	
Con	tractor								
Bid Openin	g Date								
Date of Eli									
Date of Contract									
	n Date								
Total Contract									
Work Desc									
TOTAL DOOR									
A. Decision	n Type								
Effective Dec	ision#								
Effective	Mod #								
Effective Issu	e Date								
B. Decision	n Tvpe								
Effective Dec									
Effective									
Effective Issu									
	Pri	me 1 Interviews		Prime 2	Interviews		Prime 3 Inter	views	
Employee		<u> </u>					<u> </u>		
Interviews									
List Worker									
Classifications and									
Rates as									
Determined by									
Employee									
Employee									
Name of Sub(s)	<u>4</u>				<u>5</u>				
					_ =				_
Employee									
Interviews									
interviews									
Name of Sub(s)	<u>6</u>				7				
itaille of oub(3)	<u> </u>				<u> </u>				
`									
Employee									
Employee									
Employee									

Labor Standards Page 1 of 3

Interviews shall include employees of the following contractors:

All Prime Contractors and any subcontractor with a contract of \$100,000 or more

Subcontractors with a large number of payroll problems with contracts of less than \$100,000
Other subcontractors, not listed above, that are on the jobsite on the date of the above interviews
One person of each classification present on the interview date(s) and 50% of all laborers should be interviewed.

Primes and	Subs	(from page one)	1	2	3	4	5	6	_
Were intervie (as defined o									
Were weekly properly revie		submitted and							
Did a compar with written a payrolls?		officer or a person lion sign the							_
		provide the basic eler performed, worker clas					Yes		No
		(s) have all job classif oject type, site visits, e		eded by ead	ch contrac	tor based on	factors such as		
₩ere ı	oroper a	dditional classifications	s requested	?					
		rrected payrolls) ers?							
No Yes		(s) require fringes for required, did the contr					 h?		_
Yes	No ↓ Did Bo	x 4-a indicate fringe b	enefit paym	ent(s) into a	an approv	ed plan?			
	[WI	Yes							_
		here any reason to fur					rnigher amound	5).]	
		,							
Were Davis-E Yes No	▼ Bacon co	mpensation requireme	ents met? (\	Without hav	ing to mal	ce restitution)			
Dogori	na dafiai	ency(ies):							
Descri	be delici								

	Primes and Subs(from page one)1234	5	6	7
14.	Who detected the Davis-Bacon deficiency(ies)?			
15.	★ Have Davis-Bacon restitution procedures been initiated and/or completed?			
	→ → → →			
16.	Was there any overtime? No Yes			
17.	Was there any deficiency in the calculation of overtime rates? No Yes ———————————————————————————————————			
18.	Describe the overtime deficiency(ies):			
19.	₩ho detected the overtime deficiency(ies)?			
20.	Have overtime restitution procedures been initiated and/or completed?			
21.	Have liquidated damages procedures been initiated and/or completed? (Applicable only to contracts over \$100,000.00 under CWHSSA)			
	↓ ↓ ↓ — — — —			
,	A Labor Standards Enforcement Report (LSER) is required if restitution by a contractor exc	eeds \$1,000.00		
22.	Has the requirement for a LSER been triggered?			
	No Yes			
23.	Has the process of submitting a LSER been initiated and/or completed?			
24.				
25.	Were there "other" deductions on the payroll reports?			
	No Yes			
26.	If there were "other" deductions, were employee consent forms used?			
27.	Were payrolls complete? If No, explain			
28.	₩ere payrolls accurate?			
	If No, explain			
	Prime Contractors Only (answer: Yes, No or N/A	<u>1</u>	2	3
29.	Was the <u>proper</u> wage decision made a part of the construction contract?			
(Comments / Recommended Corrective Action:			

16 of 24

Labor Standards Page 3 of 3

			Procuren	nent					
G	rantee:		Contract	: #:		FY:		7	Гуре:
Revi	ewer:	Lo	GR:				Date:		
1.	If grant funds were used for	all or part of a profes	sional servic	e contract(s),	,	_			
	Purchase type		Method of I	Procurement	t		(Contrac	t Type
					Ī			T	7
	Professional Service	Competitive Proposals	Small Purchase	Number of Quotes or Proposals Received	Non (competitive ne RFP/RFQ was received]	Fixed I		Cost Reimburse- ment
		RFP RFQ							
	Administrative Consulting								
	Engineer								
	Appraiser								
	Testing								
	Legal								
	Auditor								
								-	
	a. Did the grantee adopt the ~Date adopted:	e State's sample proc			015, they	/ must re-ado	Yes pt.)		No
	b. Date contractor(s) cleare	ed, if applicable:							
	~ls clearance date befor		(Consu		Yes	(Engineer)	No	(Other) Yes	No_
					_	<u>.</u>			_
	c. Is there an active UEI nu	umber for the					Yes	No	N/A
		administrative consu	ıltant						
		engineer							
		prime contractors							
	•	subcontractors							
2.	For the Small Purchase met			Cons	sultant	Engin	eer	Othe	er Other
	 what was the item purch a minimum of 3 quotes [item] rec'd by phone, fax or ma 	\$10k-\$20k] 5 quotes	[\$20k-\$30k]						
	 documentation for basis 								
	 was the purchase for a d 	definable work produc	:t						
	 do the payment terms st 	ate upon completion	and delivery						
		(answer: Yes , /	No or N/A)	Cons	ultant	Engin	eer	Othe	er Other
3.	The Competitive Proposal N		" - # £ :	- 1					
	a. Using "Requests for Pro			e nave					
	a copy of the solicitation re								
	 how was the RFP/RF 		d by OCD:						
	 copies of proposals/c 		1?						
	 was more than one re 			eceived?					
	~If No, see Noncom								
	a written evaluation of	-	ived?						_
	 how was cost reason 	ableness determined	?						
		ponderantly based or	n price/cost						
	and with adequate								
	 detailed cost anal 	lysis							_
						Procureme	ent		Page 1 of 3

	(answer: Yes , No or N/A)	Consultant	Engineer	Other	Other
	a. Using "Requests for Proposals/Qualifications", does the file h	nave			
	(continued from previous page)				
	 what evaluations factors were used? 				
	Qualifications				
	Experience				
	·				
	Capabilities				
	 Past Performance 				
	Approach				
	Cost				
	 did the solicitation specifically identify the evaluation items 				
	to be submitted for evaluation? [Capabilities,Past Performance	e.			
	approach]	,			
	 does the grantee have a written evaluation method for its 				
	evaluation criteria [Capabilities,Past Performance, Approach]?				
	evidence the selection process was thorough and uniform				
	and the criteria & point system identified in the RFP was				
	used to make the selection?				
	 were only the publicized items in the solicitation's scope 				
	of work contained in the contract?				
	c. Was there any evidence of situations restricting competition?				
	 Contractors involved in the procurement process competing 			·	
	for a contract award? 2 CFR 200.319(a)				
	 Any other non-competitive or arbitrary actions? 				
	, , ,				
4.	For the Non-competitive Proposals method, does the file have				
	•				
	rationale for using this procurement method?				
	were any of the following applicable 2 CFR 200.320(f)				
	~item is available only from a single source				
	~public exigency or emergency for the requirement				
	~OCD authorized in response to a written request				
	Inadequate competition				
	After solicitation of a number of sources, competition is				
	determined inadequate				
	 did the applicant sufficiently publicize the solicitation? 				
	what was the solicitation period?				
	what was the solicitation media?				
	 was there evidence of restrictive requirements or arbitrary 			· <u></u>	
	actions?				
	was the RFP/RFQ resolicited?				
	was competition determined to be inadequate?				
	was a complete cost breakdown obtained and a cost analysis				
	of the proposed price/cost performed?				
	of the proposed price, cost performed.				
5.	Does the contract include the following:				
0.	 scope of services with breakout of prices or estimated costs by 				
	services				
	contract amount, for fixed price contracts contract soiling for past reimburgement				
	contract ceiling for cost reimbursement reathed of company time.				
	method of compensation				
	types of prices utilized in the contract				
	type of price identified for each program task				
	 contract dates begin and end (make note of) 				
	 Access to Records Clause 				

Procurement Page 2 of 3

	(<u>answer</u> : Yes , No or N/A)	Consultant	Engineer	Other	Other
6.	Are the following federal contract provisions included:				-
	 Contracts for more than simplified acquisition threshold 				
	Cause and Convenience				
	Rights to Inventions Made Under a Contract				
	Clean Air Act and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act				
	Debarment and SuspensionByrd Anti-Lobbying Amendment				
	Byrd Artii-Lobbying Amendment				-
7.	Was contract amended?				
	~ If Yes , why?				
	~ Did it add any additional scope of work?				
	•				
8.	Was contract(s) executed (signed) by all parties before work				
	was initiated(including pre-agreement activities)?				
	~ If No ,document dates involved:				
			Yes	No	N/A
9.	Does consultant's contract stipulate 10% of each billing will be held		7.00		10/21
	until program is conditionally closed?				
10.	Amount awarded grantee for general admin less pre-agreement:	,	\$		_
	(Example: \$35,000 Admin total for consultant plus local government	.)			
	~ Did grantee hold 5% for their administrative expenses?				

Procurement Page 3 of 3

		Program Performance-Administration			
	Grantee:	Contract #:	FY:	Туре):
		LGR:	Date:		
Conf	ract End Date:	Percent Drawn To- Date:		A1 -	A1/A
			Yes	No	N/A
1.	le the program programing	g in accordance with the current time schedule?			
1.	~ If No . list the activity(ie	es) that is behind schedule and explain why.			
	, (,			
	Activity:	Reason for delay:			
	A ## #				
	Activity:	Reason for delay:			
2.	Do you think the grantee o	can meet the current time schedule?			
	-				
	∼ If No , explain:				
_					
3.	Was a revised schedule di	iscussed?			
4.	Are there problems which	could make the overall program infeasible?			
٦.	7 tie there problems which	oodid make the overall program infeasible:			
Com	ments / Recommended Corre	ective Action:			
		Record Keeping			
		Record Reeping			
				Yes	No
1.	Were the local governmen	nt's files available for review, and not the administrative consu	ltant's?		
_)				
2.	Was it difficult to find infor	mation or documentation during the review?			
	~ If Yes , explain:				
	11 763, explain.				
3.	Does grantee have anothe	er active grant, conditionally closed grant or grant that receive	d		
	a final closeout in the last	four years?			
		<u>povernment's</u> CDBG grant files and review past monitoring let	ters for		
	repetitive deficiencies.				
Com	ments / Recommended Corre	ective Action:			
5511					
			_		
_					

Program Performance-Administration / Record Keeping

page 1 of 1

		Pub	olic Improver	ments				
(Grantee:		Contract #	:	FY:		Тур	e:
Rev	/iewer:	LGF	₹:		Da	ate:	-'	
С	ontractor <u>1</u> :				Contract Amou	unt:		
С	ontractor <u>2</u> :				Contract Amou	unt:		
С	ontractor <u>3</u> :				Contract Amou	unt:		
S	Sub-contractor 1: _		_ Sul	b-contractor 2:				
		Contractor 1	Con	tractor 2	Cont	ractor	3	
	Bid Ad Dates							
Bi	d Opening Date							
Dosc	Award Date cription of Work							
1.	Accessibility	ertificate for Compliance with Minim by the Physically Handicapped?			_			
2.*	a. If grant prov does the res supports am b. Were work a	te Fire Marshall issued a 'certificate ides hook-ups or service line repair sidents' application for services inclusion of annual income? authorizations obtained from the proposed an arguments levied on proposts are second.	s to L/M inco ude documen	me families, itation which s? *National Objec	tive			
3.*		ssessments levied on property own c-up or tap-on fees)	ers as a resu	it of this *Program Perfo	rmance			
4.*	an activity requi	s more than 10% or program chang ire prior written approval. If applical dment submitted to OCD?		-	rmance			
5.*	a. Identify resid	dent inspector:						
6.*		reports available for review? hey signed by the inspector identific	ed above?		_	<u>—</u>		
		(answer: Yes , No o	r N/A)	Contractor	s:	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
7.		d bidder provide a signed attestation ions & verification of employees?	n document r	e: past	_			
8.		antee equivalent to 5% of bid subm ertified check)	itted by the lo	owest bidder?				
9.	a. Federal Wa	document contain the following? ge Decision(s) - <u>#'s</u> or Standards Provisions		-	_			
				*a-b Labor				
	d. EO Provisio (must have	ns (A.) for contracts not subject to E ns (B. & C.) for contracts subject to goals included for minority and fema	EO11246 ale participati			<u> </u>		
	e. Section 3 Co	ompliance for Training, Employmen	t, Business C	*c-e Civil Rights	 c Improvements		—— Р	age 1 of 3

Revised 4.1.24 21 of 24 LCDBG Grantee Handbook

	(answer: Yes , No or N/A) f. Louisiana Uniform Public Work Bid Form g. Certification of Compliance with Air and Water Acts h. Access to Records/Maintenance of Records	Contractors: [above \$150,000]	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u> 	<u>3</u>
	i. Conflict of Interest				
	j. Bonding and Insurance Requirements				
		*f-j Procurement			
10.	If applicable, were copies of all addenda sent to all bidders $\underline{\underline{\&}}$	OCD?			
	ions 11-20 are regarding Section 3 under the Civil Rights of the second state of the section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of t	=			
11.	Is grantee maintaining a certification file for Section 3 employ and businesses? If any Sec. 3 businesses or employees are certification must be on file. a. How many Sec. 3 businesses are on file? b. How many Sec. 3 workers are on file? ~If Sec. 3 workers were claimed, was an Employee Data completed for each employee? ~Was each Employee Data Form and Self-Certification so in which the employee appeared?	claimed, the Form and Self-Certification	_		
12.	Did grantee enter into construction contracts over \$200,000? ~ If Yes , did grantee meet the 10% contracting goal?				
13.	Contractor(s) 'Section 3' Documents [applicable for a. Was a 'Section 3' plan completed? b. Section 3 Certification c. Was a Section 3 Contractor/Subcontractor Eligibility Form ~If yes, did the Eligibility Form have any affirmative answe ~ If yes, was a Section 3 Business Concern, Contract submitted to the grantee?	ers?		<u>=</u>	
14.	Subcontractor(s) 'Section 3' Documents a. Section 3 and Segregated Facilities Certification b. Section 3 Plan [for proj c. Was a Section 3 Contractor/Subcontractor Eligibility Form ~If yes, did the Eligibility Form have any affirmative answe ~ If yes, was a Section 3 Business Concern, Contract submitted to the grantee?	ers?	<u>=</u>	=	
15.	 a. Did the prime contractor(s) have any new hires who qualif ~ If Yes, ~ was an Employee Data Form and Self-Certification ~ did the contractor(s) meet the Section 3 goal? ~Was each Employee Data Form and Self-Certification so in which the employee appeared? b. Did the prime contractor(s) hire any subcontractors? ~ If Yes, did the contractor(s) meet the 10% goal? 	•		= =	
16.	Did the subcontractor(s) have any new hires? If Yes, did the subcontractor(s) meet the Section 3 goal? If Sec. 3 workers were claimed, was an Employee Data completed for each employee? Was each Employee Data Form and Self-Certification so in which the employee appeared?		<u>=</u>	<u> </u>	

Public Improvements

Page 2 of 3

		Yes	No	N/A
17.	Is the grantee maintaining a Good Faith Efforts File? Does documentation in file support attempts to comply with Section 3?			
	i.e. Does it contain memoranda, correspondence, advertisements, etc. illustrating the			
	grantee's and the contractor's attempts to reach eligible persons and businesses?)			
18.	Was a complaint made to HUD by a Section 3 resident or business that challenged non-compliance with Section 3 on the part of the grantee, prime or sub?			
	~ If Yes , explain. a. What is the status of the complaint?			
	b. Was there a finding of non-compliance?			
Comi	ments <u>:</u>			
		Yes	No	N/A
	(answer: Yes , No or N/A) Contractors:	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>
21.	Is there a performance bond and a payment bond for the contract amount?			
22.	Were the U.S. Treasury Dept. and the LA Insurance Commissioner's Office contacted regarding the surety company?			
23.	Did the contract document include all items contained in the bid package and was it executed by the contractor?			
24.	Were change order(s) approved by OCD prior to execution by grantee?			
25.	Was a copy of the executed change order with all necessary signatures submitted to OCD?			
26.	Has there been a final inspection of work?			
27.	Has the 'Certificate of Substantial Completion' been recorded?			
28.	Has final payment been made to contractor less retainage?			
29.	Has the 'Clear Lien Certificate' been issued?			
30.	Has contractor been paid their retainage?			
Comi	ments / Recommended Corrective Action:			
	E: Unless otherwise noted with an asterisk (*), questions on the Public Improvements Ch urement compliance area.	ecklist are	in the	

Public Improvements

Page 3 of 3

	Exit Conferen	ce	
Grantee:	Contract #:	LGR:	
Program Areas Reviewed	Identify	Problems to be Corrected	
Frogram Areas Nevieweu	identify	Froblems to be corrected	
Acquisition (05)			
Anti-displacement (14)			
Citizen Participation(13)			
, , ,			
Civil Rights: 504 / EO /			
MBE/Sec. 3/FH (04)			_
Economic Development (14)			
Environmental (02)			
Environmental (02)			
Financial Management (01)			
l -h Otll - (00)			
Labor Standards (03)			
National Objective (10)			
rvational Objective (10)			
D D (
Program Performance- Administration (09)			
\			
Procurement (08)			
Public Improvements			
. sono improvomento			
Record Keeping (12)			
necolu nechilig (12)		Exit Conference	Page 1 of 1